IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	8:13CR63
Plaintiff,	
vs.	ORDER
LAWRENCE R. FLEURY,	
Defendant.	}

This matter is before the court on the motion for an extension of time by defendant Lawrence R. Fleury (Fleury) (Filing No. 58). Fleury seeks until October 25, 2013, in which to file pretrial motions in accordance with the progression order. Fleury has filed an affidavit wherein he consents to the motion and acknowledges he understands the additional time may be excludable time for the purposes of the Speedy Trial Act (Filing No. 59). Upon consideration, the motion will be granted. However, no further motions to extend the pretrial motion deadline will be granted absent a showing by affidavit that a denial of a request to extend would constitute a manifest injustice.

IT IS ORDERED:

Defendant Fleury's motion for an extension of time (Filing No. 58) is granted. Fleury is given until **on or before October 25**, **2013**, in which to file pretrial motions pursuant to the progression order. The ends of justice have been served by granting such motion and outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The additional **time** arising as a result of the granting of the motion, i.e., **the time between September 20**, **2013**, **and October 25**, **2013**, shall be deemed **excludable** time in any computation of time under the requirement of the Speedy Trial Act for the reason defendant's counsel requires additional time to adequately prepare the case, taking into consideration due diligence of counsel, and the novelty and complexity of this case. The failure to grant additional time might result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) & (B).

DATED this 20th day of September, 2013.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Thomas D. Thalken United States Magistrate Judge